IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON MEDFORD DIVISION

VERONICA G.1

Plaintiff,

No. 1:19-cv-01303-CL

v.

ORDER

COMMISSIONER SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Defendant.

ATIZENI D. . . . I I

AIKEN, District Judge.

This case comes before the Court on a Findings and Recommendation filed by Magistrate Judge Mark Clarke. ECF No. 33. Judge Clarke recommends that this case be reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

¹ In the interest of privacy, this order uses only first name and the initial of the last name of the non-governmental party or parties in this case

For those portions of a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations to

which neither party has objected, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review.

See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress,

in enacting [the Act], intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's

report to which no objections are filed."). Although no review is required in the

absence of objections, the Magistrates Act "does not preclude further review by the

district judge [] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard." Id. at 154. The

Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) recommend that "[w]hen no timely

objection is filed," the court should review the recommendation for "clear error on the

face of the record."

In this case, no party has filed objections to the F&R. The Court has reviewed

the F&R and finds no error. The F&R, ECF No. 33, is therefore ADOPTED. This

case is REVERSED and REMANDED for further administrative proceedings.

Judgment remanding shall be entered accordingly.

It is so ORDERED and DATED this <u>23rd</u> day of February 2023.

/s/Ann Aiken

ANN AIKEN

United States District Judge

Page 2 - ORDER